## Concepts and Techniques

A Comprehensive knowledge and understanding of concepts and relationships.
Highly effective selection and application of mathematical techniques and algorithms to find efficient and accurate solutions to routine and complex problems in a variety of contexts.

Successful development and application of mathematical models to find concise and accurate solutions.
Appropriate and effective use of electronic technology to find accurate solutions to routine and complex problems.

B Some depth of knowledge and understanding of concepts and relationships.

Mostly effective selection and application of mathematical techniques and algorithms to find mostly accurate solutions to routine and some complex problems in a variety of contexts.
Attempted development and successful application of mathematical models to find mostly accurate solutions.

Mostly appropriate and effective use of electronic technology to find mostly accurate solutions to routine and some complex problems.

C Generally competent knowledge and understanding of concepts and relationships.
Generally effective selection and application of mathematical techniques and algorithms to find mostly accurate solutions to routine problems in different contexts.

Application of mathematical models to find generally accurate solutions.

Generally appropriate and effective use of electronic technology to find mostly accurate solutions to routine problems.

D Basic knowledge and some understanding of concepts and relationships.
Some selection and application of mathematical techniques and algorithms to find some accurate solutions to routine problems in context.

Some application of mathematical models to find some accurate or partially accurate solutions.
Some appropriate use of electronic technology to find some accurate solutions to routine problems. relationships.

Attempted selection and limited application of mathematical techniques or algorithms, with limited accuracy in solving routine problems.
Attempted application of mathematical models, with limited accuracy.
Attempted use of electronic technology, with limited accuracy in solving routine problems.

## Reasoning and Communication

Comprehensive interpretation of mathematical results in the context of the problem.
Drawing logical conclusions from mathematical results, with a comprehensive understanding of their reasonableness and limitations.

Proficient and accurate use of appropriate mathematical notation, representations, and terminology.

Highly effective communication of mathematical ideas and reasoning to develop logical and concise arguments.

Formation and testing of appropriate predictions, using sound mathematical evidence.

Mostly appropriate interpretation of mathematical results in the context of the problem.

Drawing mostly logical conclusions from mathematical results, with some depth of understanding of their reasonableness and limitations.

Mostly accurate use of appropriate mathematical notation, representations, and terminology.

Mostly effective communication of mathematical ideas and reasoning to develop mostly logical arguments.
Formation and testing of mostly appropriate predictions, using some mathematical evidence.

Generally appropriate interpretation of mathematical results in the context of the problem.
Drawing some logical conclusions from mathematical results, with some understanding of their reasonableness and limitations.

Generally appropriate use of mathematical notation, representations, and terminology, with reasonable accuracy.

Generally effective communication of mathematical ideas and reasoning to develop some logical arguments.
Formation of an appropriate prediction and some attempt to test it using mathematical evidence.

Some interpretation of mathematical results.
Drawing some conclusions from mathematical results, with some awareness of their reasonableness.

Some appropriate use of mathematical notation, representations, and terminology, with some accuracy.

Some communication of mathematical ideas, with attempted reasoning and/or arguments.
Attempted formation of a prediction with limited attempt to test it using mathematical evidence.

Limited interpretation of mathematical results.
Limited understanding of the meaning of mathematical results, their reasonableness or limitations.

Limited use of appropriate mathematical notation, representations, or terminology, with limited accuracy.
Attempted communication of mathematical ideas, with limited reasoning

Limited attempt to form or test a prediction.

# Mini-Box of Chocolates: Measurement Assignment 

## Stage 1 General Mathematics

Assessment Type 2: Mathematical Investigation
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Introduction:

In this task, 3 pieces of mathematical-shaped chocolate were constructed - one of a simple 3D form and two, more complex shapes. All chocolates include both planar and curved surfaces. In this assignment, it was beneficial to consider both the profitability and reduction of waste when exploring the packaging and design of chocolates.

In part one, 'The Design' sketches of the 3 chocolates with approximate measurements were created. 'Estimating and Calculating Volume', part two, volumes for all pieces were estimated and calculated. The results were used to calculate the absolute error and the percentage error. In part three 'Calculating Surface Area', the net of each 'slot' that the chocolates sit in in the tray were drawn. A net for the box was also drawn to assist in calculating the total surface area of the tray as well as the total surface area of the cardboard packaging. 'Calculating Cost' (part four) displays the cost of three parts - the plastic tray, cardboard packaging and the chocolate itself.

To summarise, all findings are displayed in part 5 'The Report'. This discusses improvements that could be made to minimise errors, increase accuracy and improve design.

## Part One: The Design

**Note: These 3 designs also display the accurate measurements of the chocolates, not estimate**

## Chocolate Piece Number ONE:



Chocolate Piece Number TWO:


Chocolate Piece Number THREE:


- All complex shapes under 50 mm in height chosen


## Part Two: Estimating and Calculating Volume

## A. Estimate of volumes:

Piece Number ONE: Estimate values are...
Cube: A length, width and depth of 45 mm , using the formula $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L}^{3}$ For an estimation
$\left(45^{3}\right)$ Therefore, the volume of the Cube is $91,125 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

Cylinder: A height of 5 mm and a diameter of 45 mm , using the formula $V=\pi r^{2} h$, ( $\pi \times 22.5^{2} \times 5$ )
Therefore, the volume of the Cylinder is $7,952.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
The estimate volume of piece one is $91,125+7,952.16=99,077.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
Piece Number TWO: Estimate values are...
Sphere: A diameter of 5 mm , using the formula $V=4 / 3 \pi r^{3}$

Square-Based Pyramid: Abase length of 20 mm , a height of 10 mm and a slant height of 15 mm . Using the formula $V=a^{2} h / 3$
( $20^{2} \times 10 / 3$ )
Therefore, total volume of square-based pyramid is $1332 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
Therefore, the volume of the sphere is $65.45 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

Rectangular Prism: A length of 50 mm , a height of 20 mm and width of 20 mm , using the formula $V=I w h$, ( $50 \times 20 \times 20$ )
Therefore, the volume of the rectangular prism is $20,000 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

The estimate volume of piece two is $65.45+1332+20,000=21,397.45 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

Piece Number THREE: Estimate values are...
Semi-sphere: A diameter of 10 mm . Using the formula $V=$
$\qquad$ , Therefore, the total volume of the semi-sphere is $261.8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$.

Trapezoid: A height of 10 mm , a base length of 40 mm and a width of 20 mm . Using the formula, $V=w[1 / 2 h(b 1+b 2)]$, $20[1 / 2 \times 10(40+20)]$
Therefore, the volume of the trapezoid is $5,500 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

Rectangular Prism: A length of 50 mm , width of 30 mm and a height of 10 mm . Using the formula $V=\mid \mathrm{wh}$, $50 \times 30 \times 10$
Therefore, the volume of the rectangular prism is $15,000 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

The estimate volume of piece three is $261.8+5,500+15,000=20,761.8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

## B. Calculations of actual volumes (with absolute and percentage errors)

Piece Number ONE: As the formula for the volume of a cube is $V=L^{3}$, and the formula for the volume of a cylinder is $V=\pi r^{2} h .$.

Total volume of chocolate piece no. $1=70,283.19 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
(See appendices 1 for workings)
Piece Number TWO: As the formula for the volume of a sphere is $V=4 / 3 \pi r^{3}$, the formula for the volume of a squarebased pyramid is $V=a^{2} h / 3$ and the formula for the volume of a rectangular prism is $V=\mid w h . .$.

Total volume of chocolate piece no. $2=16,398.78 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
(See appendices 2 for workings)

Piece Number THREE: As the formula for the volume of a rectangular prism is $V=l w h$, the formula for the volume of a trapezoid is $w[1 / 2 h(b 1+b 2)]$ and formula for the volume of a semi-sphere is

Total volume of chocolate piece no. $3=18,261.8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{4}{3} \pi r^{2}\right)}{2}
$$

(See appendices 3 for workings)

## C. Calculating Absolute and Percentage errors

**NOTE: When calculating absolute and percentage errors, the following formulae were used....*
$\mathrm{VE}=$ actual/exact value
$V A=$ approximate value
Absolute error = VA-VE
Percentage error $=[(V A-V E) \div$ VE $] \times 100$

## Piece One:

Absolute Error $=99,077.16-70,283.19=28793.97$
Percentage Error $=(28793.97 \div 70,283.19) \times 100=40.97 \%$

Piece Two:
Absolute Error $=21,397.45-16,398.78=4998.67$
Percentage Error $=(4998.67 \div 16,398.78) \times 100=30.48 \%$

## Piece Three:

Absolute Error $=20,761.8-18,261.8=2500$
Percentage Error $=(2500 \div 18,261.8) \times 100=13.69 \%$
According to these results, the predictions were mostly not accurate. However, there is a wide range of percentage errors - ranging from approx. $40 \%-13 \%$. Ways to make a more accurate prediction includes being more precise with measurements and making sure that they are achievable and realistic. If a business were to utilise the estimate values on production of this chocolate box, it would increase wastage significantly and would higher production cost.

## Part Three: Calculating Surface Area

For the plastic tray, the total surface area $=$ walls of box + base of box + walls of 3 chocolate 'slots'/compartments.
SA of walls of box + base of box $=25,250 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ Mistake made, ... (See appendices 4 for workings)
SA of compartment one $=7,200 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
SA of compartment two $=4,200 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$
SA of compartment three $=3,750 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$

Telvial error as
student has
shown correct
working
in appendix
... (See appendices 5 for workings)
... (See appendices 6 for workings)
... (See appendices 7 for workings)
Therefore, total surface area of plastic tray $=25,250+7,200+4,200+3,750=40,400 \mathrm{~mm}^{\mathbf{2}}$

Diagram of plastic
tray

'Box
measurements'

## Part Four: Calculating Cost <br> (See appendices 8 for workings)

The total cost of the mini-box of chocolates $=$ cost of chocolate + cost of tray material + cost of cardboard packaging, therefore;

As the cost of chocolate per kg is $\$ 20.50$ and the total weight of chocolate used to fill the designs is $0.10494 \mathrm{~kg} . .$.
The total cost of the chocolate is $\approx \$ 2.15$
As the cost of the tray material is $\$ 4.30$ per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and the total surface area of the tray is $0.0404 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$...
The total cost of the tray is $\approx \$ 0.15$
As the cost of the cardboard packaging is $\$ 2.80$ per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and the total SA of the box is $0.0522 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$...
The total cost of the cardboard packaging is $\approx \$ 0.15$
In total, the cost of one mini-box of chocolates is $\approx \$ 2.45$


## Report:

To summarise the results and measurements from all parts of this investigation, view the summary table below:

| LABE | Piece One | Piece Two | Piece Three | Plastic Tray | Packaging |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Volume | $70,283.19 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $16,398.78 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $18,261.8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | NA | NA |
| Estimate <br> Volume | $99,077.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $21,397.45 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | $20,761.8 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ | NA | NA |
| Total SA | NA | NA | NA | $40,400 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ | $52,200 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ |
| Absolute Error | 28793.97 | 4998.67 | 2500 | NA | NA |
| Percentage <br> Error | $40.97 \%$ | $30.48 \%$ | $13.69 \%$ | NA | NA |
| Cost | $\$ 2.15$ |  |  |  | $\$ 0.15$ |

Although there were not many issues with this assignment, the only difficulty was the tray as multiple attempts were to made to calculate the total surface area. One unresolved issue with this investigation is that it states that there was a 1 kg bag of chocolate for sale at a price of $\$ 20.50$. If a business were to produce this mini-chocolate box, they would have to buy more than a percentage of a bag if wanting to mass-produce. Limitations of this assignment include the restriction on the shapes used (e.g. Nothing more than simple shapes put together to create 'complex' shapes). Assumptions were also made in this assignment, for example, the ability to obtain resources such as cardboard packaging and/or a special tray material. Another assumption was made, suggesting that all the chocolates had to be solid instead of hollow.

Figures below show the original 3D sketches from part one as well as a scaled diagram of piece one.



## Appendix:

Figure 1:
A) Volume $a$ shape one


Figure 2:
Volume: shape two


Figure 3:
Volume: Shape three


Figure 4:


Figure 5:
Shape cone divit: (NOTE: ALL NET DIAGNAM EXCLUOE TCP)


Figure 6:
shape 2 divit


Figure 7:
Shape 3 divit


Figure 8:


